

**FILED**

**JUL 27 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

HAROLD HENRY FIELDS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-55812

D.C. Nos. CV-03-05922-TJH  
CR-00-01108-TJH

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Terry J. Hatter, Jr., District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 24, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Harold Henry Fields appeals pro se from the district court's judgment denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion to vacate, correct and/or set aside his sentence for bank robbery. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253,

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

and we affirm.

Fields has abandoned the sole issue certified on appeal, because he failed to raise it in his briefs to this court. *See Williams v. Woodford*, 384 F.3d 567, 585 n.4 (9th Cir. 2004).

To the extent that Fields raises issues not included in the certificate of appealability (“COA”), we construe such contentions as a motion to broaden the COA, and we deny the motion. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); 9th Cir. R. 22-1(e); *Hiivala v. Wood*, 195 F.3d 1098, 1104-1105 (9th Cir. 1999) (per curiam).

**AFFIRMED.**